

# Notes - Topic 15

### Topic 15: Globalization

- I. The Bretton Woods System
  - a. After WWII, the US encouraged non-communist nations toward more globalization.
  - b. Economists gathered in New Hampshire at the Bretton Woods resort in 19544 to setup institutions governing trade.
  - c. The US dollar was set as the official international reserve currency.
  - d. All other currencies were fixed to the dollar and the dollar was fixed to gold.
  - e. This gave the US certain powers, which were eventually resented by the other nations.
  - f. In addition to those powers, it saddled the US with certain responsibilities
  - g. Eventually the system collapsed in 1971.
  - h. It also setup three very important organizations
    - i. IMF
      - 1. International Monetary Fund
      - 2. Lender of last resort on an emergency basis to nations in danger of defaulting on international financial obligations
      - 3. Has bailed out Mexico and SouthEast Asian countries.
      - 4. South Korea needed \$60 billion in 1997 from the IMF
      - 5. Loans come with strings attached
        - a. "Structural Adjustment Policies"
        - b. Programs compelling receiving nations to improve their financial institutions
        - c. Reductions of government deficits
        - d. Reform of central banking
        - e. Interest rate increases.
        - f. Designed to reassure international investors, not necessarily help the receiving nation.
      - 6. Most powerful monetary organization.
      - 7. Been the target of protest from those sticking up for developing nations.

#### ii. World Bank

- 1. "International Bank for Reconstruction and Development"
- 2. Created to serve one purpose: Help with the financial reconstruction of Western Europe after WWII.
- 3. By the 1960s, Europe had recovered and the World Bank decided to continue existing.
- 4. It now issues loans to developing nations.
- 5. Doesn't give aid, it just gives loans.
- 6. Hasn't been especially successful.
- 7. It's gotten a reputation for funding prestige projects like big hydroelectric dams and new international airports that aren't too helpful economically.
- 8. Successful developing nations got most of their money from private investors.
- 9. World Bank has been focusing on more economically valuable projects now.
- 10. Many believe the World Bank need not exist; that private funding will fill its purpose.

### iii. GATT

- 1. General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
- 2. Became the World Trade Organization (WTO).

- 3. Bretton Woods wanted to form an International Trade Organization to settle international disputes.
- ITO proved impossible because of political obstacles; instead the GATT was created.
- Not an organization, though it functioned as such until it became the WTO.
- 6. Did not have any power to set rules governing trade.

#### iv. WTO

- 1. All WTO members simultaneously participate in talks (most wealthy nations plus many developing ones).
- 2. Organizations have been gradually opening the world markets to trade.
- 3. Fundamental principle is "Most-Favored-Nation Trade Status"
  - a. All WTO members are obligated to treat all other members equally.
  - b. Cannot discriminate in treatment of imported goods.
  - c. Cannot charge 5% tariff on coffee from Costa Rico and 10% on coffee from Brazil.
  - d. Exceptions: Trade within specified "Trade Blocks" (NAFTA, EU are the biggest) can be treated differently than trade outside those blocks.
- 4. Unlike GATT it does have a conflict resolution mechanism, but still has no way to enforce it.
  - a. If a nation feels it's being treated unfairly it can complain to the WTO.
  - b. WTO will decide whether the complaint is justified or not.
  - c. If the ruling is in favor of the complaining nation, the WTO will request that the offending nation change its policy.
  - d. There's always a possibility that the offending nation will neglect to make the change.
  - e. The complaining nation will then be authorized to impose retaliatory restrictions on its own imports.

## II. Aspects of Globalization

- a. Globalization
  - i. Term used very often with different meanings.
  - ii. Used here to mean the "Trend toward greater integration of national markets for goods, services, and most importantly capital."
- b. Has been stimulated by technological progress in communication, transportation and information technology.
- c. First Aspect: International Trade.
  - i. Increased imports.
  - ii. Very important, but already discussed in great detail earlier.
- d. Second Aspect: Foreign Direct Investment.
  - i. Investors from one nation purchase real assets in another nation.
  - ii. Real estate, productive capital, etc.
  - iii. Firm from one nation sets up operations in another.
  - iv. Massive increase in recent years.
  - v. Two reasons to invest
    - 1. Get access to cheap labor in developing nations. Low-skilled operations only.
    - 2. Access to foreign markets.
      - a. This works in both less developed nations and in other wealthy nations.
      - b. Circumvents export costs by actually being in the nation.
  - vi. Strong trend away from exporting and toward actually setting up operations there.

- vii. Large percentage of international trade is now foreign direct investment related.
  - 1. Nike used to produce in the US and export its goods. Now it's more likely to setup new operations.
  - 2. If Nike produces in China, it must export a lot from the US in order to import its product, so US exports rise too.
- viii. More optimistic View: Foreign Direct Investment is so widespread, firms' nationalities become more ambiguous.
  - 1. Headquarters of Ford is in the US, but Europeans who drive Ford cars don't think of them as US cars. They're produced in Europe.
  - 2. Despite that trend it's still more typical for the firm to keep high valueadded operations at home.
- e. Third Aspect: Foreign Portfolio Aspect
  - i. Buying stocks and bonds in a foreign country.
  - ii. Assets with maturities of one year or less are called "hot money"
    - Liquid investments can be rapidly withdrawn if investors lose confidence.
    - 2. Suppose a developing nation issues short-term bonds and sells them internationally.
      - a. Nation refinances that debt as soon as it matures by borrowing more from other lenders.
      - Works very well as long as the total amounts are modest and the performance of the economy meets investors' expectations.
      - c. If investors start to lose confidence, there's a problem can't pay off the bonds.

# III. Globalization Pros

- a. Already discussed pros/cons of trade.
- b. Main selling point: Capital becomes free to go wherever the return is highest
- c. Should work to the benefit of both savers and investors.
- d. If Taiwanese securities are paying higher interest than US securities, everyone benefits if US savings flows to Taiwan.
- e. Investors get a higher return and firms get more capital for production.

## IV. Globalization Pros

- a. Effects on Labor
  - i. The friendlier the economy is for capital, the worse it is for labor.
  - ii. Income can only go to those who work or to those who own capital.
  - iii. The more of a nation's output that goes to capital, the less goes to labor.

    Marx was roughly right.
  - iv. Globalization is seen by many to be moving wealth from labor to capital.
  - v. US firms that move their operations overseas can pay lower wages and more of the profit will go to the owners of the capital.
  - vi. Argument assumes there's a fixed amount of wealth (a fixed "pie") to be had. That's not true. The faster capital can be put to use, the faster the "pie" grows.
  - vii. Some even argue that capital owners aren't even taking a bigger share.
  - viii. Distinction between "capital" and "labor" is also becoming more blurred. A lot of US workers will collect some income from capital as well as their labor (ex. Pension Funds)
  - ix. Flow of capital will raise wages in poorer countries.
- b. Effects on Policy
  - i. Reduces the ability of governments to set policies in their nations' best interest.
  - ii. International capital likes some policies and dislikes others. Capital will tend to flow to nations with "good" policies.
  - iii. What Investors Like

- 1. Monetary policy oriented toward fighting inflation and preserving the currency.
- 2. Low taxes and minimal national barriers.
- 3. Privately owned industries. (State-owned industries are 'bad'.)
- 4. Balanced budgets, indicating fiscal irresponsibility.
- 5. Lightly regulated economies.
- 6. This set of policies is called the "Washington Consensus."
- iv. Many policies in the Washington Consensus are highly unpopular since they supposedly shift wealth to international financiers.
- v. These affect poor and wealthy nations.
- vi. Critics opposed think the world would eventually turn into a sweatshop.
- V. International Crises and the "Contagion Effect"
  - a. Every few years since global investment picked up, a crisis has developed.
  - b. Local financial problems in developing nations spread to the world.
  - c. When capital moved very slowly, local crises tended to remain local.
  - d. With fast-moving capital, it spreads quickly.
  - e. The Process
    - During a period of financial stability, capital flows into the nation seeking higher returns. Hot money.
    - ii. Some developing nation faces a financial squeeze.
      - 1. Maybe the government squandered its previous income instead of putting it to productive use.
      - 2. Maybe it was just bad luck poor prices for exports, for example.
    - iii. International investors start worrying about losing their money.
    - iv. Investors rush to withdraw money from the troubled nation and invest it in safer places.
    - v. Often investors rush to withdraw money from other, vaguely similar nations perhaps all other developing nations.
    - vi. This sudden reversal of flow out of nations that depend on it means there really is a problem investors' concerns are a self-fulfilling prophecy.
    - vii. IMF usually steps in with an emergency loan to prevent actual default. IMF policies are usually the opposite of what would encourage investment.
  - f. Appears that reforms will eventually be necessary.
  - g. Seems that for the most part, in the long run, Globalization does help developing nations.