



Notes – Extra Topics

- I. Functional Languages
 - a. Motivations
 - i. Should be following the mathematical way of doing functions
 - ii. In Prolog, have many *dependent* predicates
 - iii. In functional languages, evaluate all independently.
 - iv. Should not be influenced by the sequence of code (though in practice it is)
 - v. Mathematical functions do not produce side effects (again, not true in practice)
 - b. Definition
 - i. In imperative languages, one has strong restrictions on what can be returned and cannot be returned from functions.
 - ii. There are only two types of data: atom, list
 1. Atoms: All symbols and numeric constants, just like Prolog
 2. Lists
 - a. Separated by delimiting their elements with parentheses
 - b. (A (A B) D (E (F G)))
 3. The empty list is both an atom and a list
 - iii. Must Have:
 1. Starting functions (primitive / built-in functions)
 2. A set of functional forms to build more advanced functions
 - a. Either takes functions as parameters, yields a function as a result, or both
 - b. Function composition ($f \circ g$) is a common functional form
 3. Function application operator (either an interpreter or compiler)
 - iv. Everything in LISP (atoms and lists) are called S-expressions (λ)
 - c. LISP
 - i. Primitives
 1. QUOTE returns its parameters as-is
 - a. (QUOTE (A B C)) \Downarrow (A B C)
 - b. 'A is equivalent to (QUOTE A)
 2. CAR is similar to head in prolog
 - a. (CAR '((A B) C D)) \Downarrow (A B)
 - b. The quote keeps it from trying to evaluate ((A B) C D) as a function and instead just treats it as-is
 3. CDR is like tail. (CDR '(A)) \Downarrow ()
 4. CONS is for list construction
 - a. (CONS 'A '(C B)) \Downarrow (A C B)
 - b. (CONS '(C D) '(A B)) \Downarrow (C D A B)
 5. EQ
 - a. Takes two parameters
 - b. Returns t if they're equal
 - c. Returns nil if they're not equal
 6. ATOM: Returns t iff its argument is an atom
 7. NULL: Returns t iff its argument is the empty list
 8. EVAL: Is the application operation (as noted)
 9. These are available in all LISP systems
 - ii. Lambda Expressions
 1. A lambda expression: func_name (LAMBDA (a b ... n) map_function)
 2. lambda (x) x * x * x (2) \Downarrow (8)
 3. Note that the declaration discusses the input (x) but says nothing about the output. The output is just whatever result the function gives
 - d. History
 - i. LISP
 1. Designed by John McCarthy at MIT (1958- 1959)

- 2. A member of the National Academy of Sciences, won the Turing award, supervised only 22 graduate students (including Masters students)
 - 3. Very serious; very strict
 - ii. Scheme: Small, static-scoped LISP descendent
 - iii. Common LISP: An amalgam of various dialects of LISP
 - e. EMACS LISP
 - i. Always running in emacs
 - ii. Type (+ 47 38)
 - iii. Put the cursor after the closing parenthesis.
 - iv. Type C-x C-e
 - v. The result goes in the message mini-buffer
 - f. LISP vs. Prolog
 - i. MIT and Stafford use LISP
 - ii. Duke and Europe use Prolog
 - iii. This isn't really for any academic reasons; just historical
 - iv. Prolog is more user friendly and easier to write small scaled application programs
 - v. LISP provides good facilities to design heuristic inference engines
 - vi. "Probably 85 of the 100 best-known programs in AI would be in LISP" – Charniak and McDermott, 1985
- II. Natural Language Processing
- a. Modern view says speech is a form of action (as opposed to logic, which says there are only true/false statements)
 - b. Goals
 - i. Inform
 - ii. Query
 - iii. Command
 - iv. Promise
 - v. Acknowledge
 - c. Stages
 - i. Intention (speaker S wants to inform hearer H that P)
 - ii. Generation (S selects words W to express P)
 - iii. Synthesis (S utters W)
 - iv. Perception (H perceives W')
 - v. Analysis (H infers meanings P_1, \dots, P_n)
 - vi. Disambiguation (H infers intended meaning P_i)
 - vii. Incorporation (H incorporates P into the knowledge base)
 - d. Problems
 - i. Insincerity (S doesn't believe P)
 - ii. Speech wreck ignition failure (Note: This probably means something, but nobody happened to offer any explanation)
 - iii. Ambiguous utterance
 - iv. Differing understandings of the current situation
 - 1.
 - e. Grammar
 - i. Taking the pre-1958 view
 - ii. Grammar is a set of rewrite rules ($S \rightarrow NP VP$) for sentence S (is Very Phrase followed by Noun Phrase). See [CMSI-164] for some details.
 - iii. Language is a set of strings of terminal symbols (Article \rightarrow a | an | the)
 - iv. Types: Regular, Context-Free, Context-Sensitive. See [CS-243] for details.
 - v. Most natural languages are context free and parsable in real time.
 - f. Grammaticality Judgments
 - i. Formal language L_1 may differ from natural language L_2
 - ii. False positives: Sentences that exist in L_1 even though they're not really allowed in the natural language
 - iii. False negatives: Sentences that don't exist in L_1 even though they are allowed

- iv. It becomes a learning problem to get them to agree and ambiguity may demand leaving deliberate differences
 - v. Real grammars range from 10 to 500 pages and still aren't sufficient for English.
 - vi. Efficient algorithms $O(n^3)$ run at several thousand words per second for real grammars
- g. Applying Prolog to Natural Language Processing
 - i. Syntactic vs. Semantic Approaches
 - 1. Syntactic: Based solely on rules. Given rules, understand the sentence.
 - 2. Semantic: Given some background understanding at the concept level
 - ii. Stages
 - 1. Parsing: Analyze the syntactic structure of the sentence
 - 2. Semantic Interpretation
 - 3. Contextual/Real-World interpretation
- III. Semantic Web
 - a. World Wide Web today relies on textual searches
 - b. Websites don't yet support any kind of *semantic* search (by meaning)
 - c. Information on the web is designed for human consumption
 - d. The semantic web approach develops languages that can be processed by machine
 - e. Generations of the World Wide Web
 - i. First: Static, hand-written pages
 - ii. Second: Can generate pages from user interaction (where we are now)
 - iii. Third: Semantic
 - f. This is an initiative of the W3C
 - g. Example
 - i. Want the cheapest copy (including shipping) of a particular book that can be obtained within one week
 - ii. One-World Mediation: All book sites are in the same world
 - h. Example
 - i. A home buyer wants a house for under \$price in a neighborhood with a school in the top third...
 - ii. Multi-world mediation
 - iii. Requires expert knowledge in multiple domains
 - iv. Complex Multiple Worlds
 - i. RDF
 - i. Add annotations for websites
 - ii. Agent-Oriented Languages
 - 1. Like object oriented programming in that it's a new design system
 - 2. Give the agent beliefs, ideas, principles
 - 3. Based on those beliefs it will make a decision.
 - 4. Agent-O is one example
 - iii. Have a RDF repository on top of the ordinary HTML/GIF/JPEG content.
 - iv. Agents communicate only with the repository
 - v. The first semantic website: owl.mindswap.org