

Open Source

- I. What is It?
 - a. Source Freely Available
 - b. If it doesn't work, anyone can change it
 - c. Eric Raymond (big champion)
 - i. Cathedral and Bazaar
 - ii. Bazaar wide range of approaches; get huge collection of "stuff" you can use for a program (take what you need)
 - d. Well-Known Open Source Software
 - i. Utilities
 - 1. Linux most famous
 - 2. emacs, gcc
 - 3. Apache affects people the most, though they probably don't know it
 - 4. fetchmail
 - ii. Enterprise Software: MySQL, DFS filesystem, Websphere Studio
 - iii. Applications: Star Office, gimp
 - iv. Older games get re-released as open source once all the code's been rewritten
- II. How it Works
 - a. Release Early, Release Often
 - b. Early release gets people interested but shows that it still needs work.
 - c. If it's too polished on the initial release nobody will bother working on it.
 - d. Users make changes; submit them. Release with changes often.
 - e. Contributors
 - i. Up to 1000s, usually on a few (10 to 15).
 - ii. Almost exclusively part-time / volunteer
- III. Maintenance

IV.

- a. Bug Fixes. Requested Enhancements. Developer Originated
- b. Software may mutate drastically from the original intent as people suggest changes Don'ts
 - a. Forking Projects. Splits developer base in half. Generates confusion about versions
 - i. Good when you really need a specialized version.
 - ii. Sometimes merged back in later; sometimes stays a fork.
 - b. Distributing Rogue Versions
 - i. Make sure anyone running it knows it's different.
 - ii. Once you start releasing a Rogue version you're really forking the project
 - c. Never remove credit!
 - d. Won't be taken to court over these things but there's major social pressure
- V. Organization
 - a. Usually have a maintainer coordinating changes
 - b. Initially the maintainer is the original developer, who can then appoint a successor
 - c. Projects can get orphaned. If nobody's doing anything on a project, adopt it!
 - d. Good for many reasons to work on open source projects as a student. Build a reputation!
 - e. Committee
 - i. Big projects (Linux, Apache, Perl)
 - ii. Hierarchical (like Linux, with Torvalds at the top, then specialists below)
 - iii. Committee with Specialists (Apache)
 - iv. Rotating Committee Leaders (Perl, possibly)
- VI. Overhead
 - a. Brooks' Problem: Overhead increases O(N²) by the number of developers
 - b. Debugging / testing distributes pretty well. *Someone* will find the problem and announce it. Then *someone* will solve it.
 - c. It's inefficient in that many people are doing the same work, but very productive!
- VII. Licensing
 - a. GNU: Once open source, Always open source. Can't close it off.

- b. Berkeley: Can turn into closed source later
- c. Sun Community: *Cannot* fork. Takes scrutiny, strangely. It's really bad to fork a project, but apparently it's even worse to legally forbid it.
- VIII. Why Bother?
 - a. Seems really weird to have people working for free.
 - b. One answer: it's fun for developers!
 - c. Another: want to gain favor with who's in control
 - d. Raymond: *Time* is in excess right now (developer time). "Gift Culture" (vs Control vs. Exchange). Basic economics.
 - e. Owners
 - i. Get attention! Mozilla was the first big project to go from commercial to open source.
 - ii. Get free labor!
 - iii. Get confidence from the customer
 - 1. Big customers might get closed source software in escrow in case the vendor dies (so the customer can take over the project or give it to another developer).
 - 2. With open source, customer knows the source is always available
 - 3. Also see boost in reliability
- IX. Issues
 - a. What programs work?
 - i. No trade secrets.
 - ii. Needs low or no sale value
 - b. Microsoft's Halloween Document
 - i. Open source can't innovate
 - ii. True in many ways open source trails in many areas
 - c. What will Get Developed?
 - i. Need programmer interest
 - ii. Targeted at novices and kids issues there