



Notes – Types (2)

- I. Scope
 - a. NB: OCaml uses static scope as do almost all modern PLs.
 - b. Example
 - i. `let x = 1;;`
 - ii. `let addx = (fun (y : int) -> x + y);;`
 - iii. `let x = 2;;`
 - iv. `addx(1)` ↓ _____
 - c. Static
 - i. x will *a/ways* refer to the x that was in scope at the time of the function declaration
 - ii. `addx(1)` ↓ 2
 - d. Dynamic
 - i. Uses whatever x is in scope at the time of the function call
 - ii. `addx(1)` ↓ 3
 - e. Static is more practical and more theoretically appealing.
- II. Type Inference
 - a. `let x : τ = e_1 in e_2`
 - b. We've been assuming x has type τ when type-checking e_2
 - c. `(fun (x : τ) -> e)`
 - d. OCaml has a much smarter type analysis called "type inference" or "type reconstruction"
 - e. We could write that same statement as `(fun x -> e)`
 - f. Example
 - i. `(fun x -> x + 1);;`
 - ii. OCaml type inference analysis infers that x must be an int.
 - iii. The function's type is `int -> int`
 - g. Another Example: `let x = 1 + 2 in 5 * x`
 - h. This is a really deep topic to be discussed in detail in the second half of the semester.
 - i. This is an order of magnitude greater in complexity than regular type checking.
 - j. In fact, it results in an algorithm of exponential complexity.
 - k. Why isn't this a problem?
 - i. The examples that have such ridiculous complexity are abhorrent (pathological examples)
 - ii. In practice, time analysis will be polynomial (for real life programs)
 - iii. So exponential complexity is not a deal-breaker.
 - l. It's proven that if there is a type for an expression the analysis will find it.
- III. Syntactic Sugar / Sugarings
 - a. Nice to have, but don't really add anything new to the language.
 - b. Define these in terms of other expression types.
 - c. `let f = (fun x -> e) let f x = e`
 - d. `let rec f = (fun x -. e) let rec f x = e`
 - e. Example
 - i. `let rec fact = (fun x -> if x = 0 then 1 else x * fact(x - 1))`
 - ii. `let rec fact x = if x = 0 then 1 else x * fact(x - 1)`
 - f. Certainly more convenient to write stuff the shorter way.
 - g. Also don't have to define any new behavior. You can have a very limited language that's still easy to use by adding syntactic sugar.
- IV. Commenting Conventions
 - a. `(* Comment *)`
 - b. These go right before definitions
 - c. NB: These conventions will be enforced on homework assignment.

- d. For Our class:
 - i. (* <functionName> : τ
 In: <formal parameters, expected invariants>
 Out: <description of semantics>
 *)
 - ii. (* fact : int \rightarrow int
 In: $x \geq 0$
 Out: x!
 *)
 let rec fact...

V. Composite Types

- a. Data structures built of the composition of basic types
- b. Products / Tuples
 - i. Type Form
 - 1. $\tau_1 * \dots * \tau_n$ for $n > 1$
 - 2. * like Cartesian product
 - ii. Value Form: (v_1, \dots, v_n) for $n > 1$
 - iii. Values
 - 1. Infinite set
 - 2. Examples
 - a. $(1, 2) : \text{int} * \text{int}$ [*homogeneous*]
 - b. $(\text{"hi"}, 2.0) : \text{string} * \text{float}$ [*heterogeneous*]
 - c. $((\text{fun } x \rightarrow x + 1), 0) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) * \text{int}$
 - d. $(1, 0, \text{"a"}) : \text{int} * \text{int} * \text{string}$
 - e. $((1, 0), 1.0) : (\text{int} * \text{int}) * \text{float}$
 - 3. NB: $(\tau_1 * \tau_2) * \tau_3 \neq \tau_1 * \tau_2 * \tau_3$
 - iv. Binding Strengths
 - 1. So far we have * and \rightarrow as type constructors
 - 2. * binds more strongly than arrow
 - 3. $\text{int} * \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} = (\text{int} * \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}$
 - v. Operations
 - 1. Construction
 - a. Formation
 - b. $(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau_1 * \dots * \tau_n$ iff $e_i : \tau_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$
 - 2. Deconstruction
 - a. Projection
 - b. $\text{fst}(e) : \tau_1, \text{snd}(e) : \tau_2$ iff $e : \tau_1 * \tau_2$
 - c. Note that these are valid for pairs only!
 - vi. Evaluation
 - 1. Construction: $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Downarrow (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ iff for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ $e_i \Downarrow v_i$ in right-to-left order
 - 2. Deconstruction: $\text{fst}(e) \Downarrow v_1, \text{snd}(e) \Downarrow v_2$ iff $e : \tau_1 * \tau_2$
 - vii. Example
 - 1. $(1 + 2, \text{sqrt}(4)) : \text{int} * \text{float} \Downarrow (3, 2.0)$
 - 2. $\text{fst}(1 + 2, \text{sqrt}(4)) : \text{int} \Downarrow 3$
 - viii. Example
 - 1. We can now, *in effect* create functions with multiple arguments.
 - 2. `let add pair x = fst(x) + snd(x);;`
 - 3. $\text{addpair}(3, 5) \Downarrow 8$
- c. More composite types later.

ERROR: undefinedfilename
OFFENDING COMMAND:

STACK: