



Notes – Operator Overloading

- I. Function Overloading
 - a. Can have similar functions with the same name, but different arguments.
 - b. The compiler picks one at compile time based on the declared types of arguments.
 - c. Everything else we've seen is based on runtime types, but this is not.
- II. Operator Overloading
 - a. Arithmetic operators are almost always overloaded for ints, floats, etc.
 - b. Java also overloads + for strings, and |, ||, &, and && for int and Boolean.
 - c. All this seems pretty natural.
 - d. Languages like C++ allow programmers to define operators too.
 - i. Makes the programmer's code look just like built-in code.
 - ii. In C++ can define a global function that takes one or two arguments (depending on the nature of the operator).
 - iii. Can also define a member function on a class, with one fewer argument (the first will always be an instance of the class).
 - e. Finding the right function or method to interpret a unary operator is pretty easy.
 - f. How does C++ find the right function to interpret a binary operator?
 - i. Look at the left-hand operand
 1. Try to resolve as an operator member function.
 2. If it's not an object skip this, and move on. If there is no function, move on.
 - ii. Look for an appropriate global function.
 - g. There's no assumption that any operator is commutative
 - i. Need every possible permutation to make the code easy to write.
 - ii. Makes for a LOT of code!
 - h. C++ allows almost every operator to be overloaded
 - i. We discussed `new` and `delete` already.
 - ii. Can define globally or in a class.
 - iii. Define both or none – don't define one and assume the default implementation of the other will suffice.
 - i. The tricky ones are those using reference types. (Remember that reference types are just pointers that get dereferenced automatically).
- III. Specific Operators
 - a. ++, --
 - i. One for pre, one for post.
 - ii. `operator++(int)` is post
 - iii. `operator++()` is pre
 - iv. The post-fix version doesn't actually use its integer argument – it's just there to indicate which function is which.
 - b. Subscripting, `operator[]`
 - i. Makes list access look like array access
 - ii. For getting, return a reference to where the data is stored (dangerous!)
 - iii. For setting, you still have to return such a reference so you may need to create some space first and return a reference to that.
 - c. Can overload function calls
 - i. Make an object and "call it"
 - ii. Weird
 - d. Surprising: The `->` dereference operator, along with `*`
 - i. Allows "smart pointers"
 - ii. Means you can make a "pointer" that doesn't really refer to memory.
 - iii. May really access stuff off a disk or something else, but will look and act like a pointer.
 - iv. *Almost* allows persistent objects, save a few quirks.
 - e. Casting

- i. Casting to a built-in type is simple.
- ii. Casting to another object is quite different.
- iii. Converts cast to constructor calls.
- iv. C++ tries to cast wherever it's appropriate (3 to double, for example, in `double x = 3;`)
- v. Makes nice code in terms of simplicity.
- vi. Makes it even harder to find the code that's executing.
- vii. More time is spent reading code than writing it.

IV. The Rub

- a. There are many cases where this is great, but others where it's horrible.
- b. Three problems.
- c. Need to get all the operators right. That's not too bad.
- d. Need to get every permutation right.
 - i. This is really hard.
 - ii. Adding a new class that can interact with an existing class means you need to add tons of overloaded operator functions just to get the two to work together.
- e. Readability
 - i. It's hard for the compiler to find the right function to use.
 - ii. It's even harder for a human to find it.
 - iii. It's *extremely* hard to understand code using overloaded operators when you need to know where the supporting code is.
 - iv. You can't even guarantee that the operator does what's expected.
 - v. The `cout << value;` command *looks* pretty, but has nothing to do with left shift!
 - vi. Someone thought that was a good idea, so someone else may similarly create something weird.
- f. Use it Sparingly
 - i. `x + y` isn't that much better than `x.plus(y)`;
 - ii. Keep in mind the future maintenance you'll have to do.
- g. Sometimes overloading operators is cheaper than allowing the automatic conversion when you write `Fraction f2 = f1 * 3`.
 - i. Would first convert 3 to a Fraction
 - ii. That's expensive. VERY expensive (takes twice the time)
 - iii. Better in that case to overload `+` and get an efficiency boost.
- h. Performance
 - i. On that note...
 - ii. It takes about a 10% change in performance for anybody to notice.
 - iii. It's a question of choosing the right algorithms.
 - iv. Too many people focus on the speed of low-level code, but that rarely matters.
 - v. The design matters. If it's a good design, you can focus on the performance issues that need attention later rather than focusing on *all* potential problems as you write code.
 - vi. Take CS209 next Spring for more information on performance.