

Notes – Testing (Continued)

- I. Review
 - a. Write tests before you write the code.
 - i. That helps make the code easier to write.
 - ii. It also encourages doing the testing.
 - b. Black Box
 - i. You don't know what the code says, just what the specs say.
 - ii. Check normal cases. What will happen most of the time?
 - iii. Fringe cases?
 - iv. Error cases?
 - c. White Box
 - i. Written while looking at the code.
 - ii. Design tests to make the code execute in different configurations.
 - iii. If there's an if..else split, make sure both halves get run.
 - d. Example
 - i. Steering on RobotControl
 - ii. Try +6, -5 (normal), +10, -10 (fringe), 0, +1, -1 (fringe)
- II. Testing Classes
 - a. Test every public method.
 - i. If private methods are called from those public methods, they get tested too.
 - ii. Any private method that's not called isn't worth concern.
 - b. Test every fundamentally different state
 - i. Can't check every single possibility.
 - ii. Can look for key differences from one to another and try those.
 - c. Write a test() function for each class
 - i. It sets up all appropriate scenarios and calls each public method.
 - ii. It then interprets the result.
 - iii. It always returns true or false for success or failure.
 - iv. It may or may not print some results.
 - d. Want to guarantee the rep invariant.
 - i. Private methods are allowed to break it, but public methods must maintain it.
 - ii. repOk() helps here.
 - e. Would like to say that if each individual call holds, any combination of calls will too.
 - i. That's not always true.
 - ii. To be 100% thorough you'd need to test every single combination of results
 - iii. Since it's not possible to do that, group similar sets of input together.
 - iv. If any one case in a group works, they can all be assumed to work.
 - v. It's not always easy to develop appropriate groups.
- III. Testing Type Hierarchies
 - a. Start with a minimum test. Always call super.test() since that needs to work anyway.
 - b. Also need to know that the subclass won't replace some methods that result in a bug
 - c. Design constraints that make any legal subclass work in some reasonable way.
 - d. Most testing looks for bugs in the code. These look for bugs in the specifications.
 - e. You need to define what's legal and illegal accurately.
 - f. The goal is to give well-meaning developers the tools to keep from breaking it.
 - g. Malicious developers will always be able to break it.
- IV. Unit Testing vs. Integration Testing
 - a. Unit testing looks at a specific class.
 - b. Integration testing deals with system.
 - c. Full system testing worries about how / what the user will do.
 - i. A whole new way to do testing.
 - ii. Doesn't include any white box testing the user doesn't know or care about the code
 - iii. The point is to make sure that the user gets what s/he expects all the time.