

Notes - Threads

- I. Flow of Control
 - a. What happens next?
 - b. So far everything has been a single flow of control
 - i. There's only one answer to the question "Where is it now?"
 - ii. Only one thing happening at a time.
 - c. Some programs need multiple threads of control
 - i. "Multiple Threads"
 - ii. This is particularly useful when you want one thing to execute without waiting for another.
 - iii. User interfaces often want to be in a separate thread so the interface will continue reacting even when the program is doing other stuff in the background.
 - iv. Use whenever you want to run a background task
 - v. Use whenever you don't want the program to wait for something.
 - d. Some processes are easy to break into small tasks
 - i. If so, do a little piece, then check the UI again.
 - ii. Do a "round robin" of tasks.
 - iii. No need for multiple threads.
 - e. Other tasks just take a long time, and can't easily be broken apart
 - i. Go do your piece and come back when you're done.
 - ii. I'll do other stuff while I wait.
- II. Threads
 - a. Built from Runnable
 - b. One method, run(), which does the work.
 - c. The thread ends when run() ends.
 - d. Think of it like a main() for a whole new program
 - e. run() must be completely independent in terms of execution.
 - f. Thread implements Runnable
 - g. Control and Manipulation
 - i. Thread.sleep(long milliseconds). Just don't do anything for some length of time.
 - ii. static Thread.currentThread() gives the thread from which the call is made.
- III. Flow of Control
 - a. Running threads can change the order in which code is executed.
 - b. Within any one thread, the order is "correct" (as expected)
 - c. Remember that statement interleaving is at the machine instruction level, not the "line of code" level.
 - d. Among several threads though, there's no way to tell which is running a chunk of code at any given moment.
 - e. If the threads are completely independent, nobody cares.
 - f. Remember that all threads see the same memory though, so if they are trying to read or change the same object there could be a problem.
 - i. Could have two pieces of code retrieve the same value, manipulate it differently, and store the wrong result.
 - ii. See CS100-29-13
 - iii. Almost all problems come back to violations of the rep invariant.
 - iv. You cannot assume the invariant is true in the middle of a method, but it's assumed to be true at the beginning.
 - v. With methods running in the middle of other methods, that can cause problems.
 - g. Synchronized
 - i. Declare methods as synchronized

- ii. No other synchronized method can run until the first finishes.
- iii. There's still no way to know which synchronized methods will be run first, but the statements will no longer be interleaved.
- iv. It doesn't matter what CODE is running (the same method can even be running more than once), it matters what object is being accessed.
- v. Synchronized methods on the same object are off-limits.
- h. synchronized statement
 - i. It's not always necessary to synchronize an entire method.
 - ii. synchronized (objectExpression) { code; }
 - iii. That blocks all methods and statements that are synchronized and works exactly like it did for methods.
- IV. The Problem
 - a. What if you need two different objects, each in two different threads.
 - b. Each thread may get one object, and neither can do anything.
 - c. Called a deadlock.
 - d. Always avoid using multiple locks whenever possible.
 - e. Also always try to grab things in the same order.
 - f. It's still really hard to guarantee a deadlock will never happen.