

Notes – Topic 9

Topic 9: Fiscal Policy

- I. What it Is
 - a. Changes in government spending or taxes designed to influence aggregate demand and thus GDP or the price level.
 - b. Large-scale changes explicitly intended to have such effects.
 - c. Remember a change in government spending is about equal to an opposite change
 - d. Expansionary Fiscal Policy: Increase in government spending. Designed to stimulate economy and boost aggregate demand.
 - e. Contractionary Fiscal Policy: Decrease in government spending.
 - f. Trademark of Keynesian economics is that government should use fiscal policy to manage the economy.
 - g. Real-Life Example: Bush's Tax Cuts
 - i. Booming economy through 1990s. Recession by 2002.
 - ii. Tax cut partially sold as stimulus to boost economy
 - iii. Business taxes decreasing means investment rises, which boosts aggregate demand.
 - iv. Household taxes decreasing means consumer spending rises, which boosts aggregate demand.
 - h. They were actually planning tax cut long before the recession for different reasons (obligation to return surplus to people). They really just want to cut taxes.
- II. Keynesians vs. "New Classicals"
 - a. New Classicals
 - i. Anti-Keynesian View.
 - ii. Want to emphasize continuity with pre-Keynesian "Classical" view.
 - iii. Economy tends to be at equilibrium at or near potential output.
 - iv. Economy will correct itself within a short time.
 - v. Views have always been around, even when Keynesian views dominated.
 - vi. Boils down to beliefs about the slope of the Aggregate Supply curve.
 - vii. Deny that recessions would become self-perpetuating problems.
 - b. Most modern economists draw from both views. Neither extreme is particularly dominant. Back closer to Keynesian thinking overall.
 - c. Either point of view can be right at different times.
 - i. Perhaps Aggregate Supply curve is indefinite: shallow below potential output and steep near it and above it.
 - ii. Probably best to try adjusting only major problems and leave small problems alone.
- III. Problems with Fiscal Policy
 - a. *Everybody* acknowledges these.
 - b. Supply-Side Shocks
 - i. Stagflation. Fall in real output and increase in price level.
 - ii. Expansionary policy would boost inflation.
 - iii. Contractionary policy to address the inflation would decrease output.
 - iv. Only works, even in theory, for Aggregate Demand side shocks. Supply-side shocks can't be corrected with fiscal policy.
 - c. Politics
 - i. Difficult to sell expansionary policy. Just worsens debt and deficit.
 - ii. Difficult to convince public that deficit would be good public thinks it's irresponsible.
 - iii. Contractionary policy is even harder to sell requires higher taxes or cutting government programs. Neither is very popular.
 - d. Time Lags

- i. Must first realize there's a problem. GDP data isn't real-time available only quarterly.
- ii. Then draft legislation to address the problem.
- iii. Then get the legislation passed.
- iv. Then the new policies must actually have their effect on the economy. Several months? Even more than a year?
- v. By the time new policy actually has its effect the conditions it was designed to address may have changed.
- vi. Keynesians used to talk about fine-tuning the economy. Analogy of highperformance sports car. Make a small change and it changes direction very quickly and accurately.
- vii. Better analogy is an oil tanker. Very sluggish to respond.
- viii. Governments rarely adjust spending for purposes of adjusting the economy Keynes style.
- ix. Some Examples:
 - 1. Japan made efforts to address its recent stagflation, but with little success.
 - 2. US Fed passed fiscal stimulus bill to address the current recession a week ago.
 - a. Perfect example of how NOT to do it.
 - b. Took so much time and politicians cut so much from the original bill that it's now weak and irrelevant.
- IV. Automatic Stabilizers
 - a. Programs in government that work as fiscal policy on autopilot.
 - b. Occur spontaneously without any need for government decision.
 - c. Examples: Corporate profit tax and personal income tax.
 - i. Revenues drop automatically when a recession begins.
 - ii. Automatic reduction in taxes equates to expansionary policy.
 - d. Works very well to help smooth business cycles.
 - e. Agreement that without automatic stabilizers swings would be much worse.
- V. Real World Fiscal Policy
 - a. Taxes and spending are rarely changed for the purpose of changing aggregate demand.
 - b. Levels of taxes and spending still have an influence on aggregate demand.
 - c. Government spending is a very large part of GDP.
 - i. Taxes = 30% of GDP.
 - ii. Government Spending is just under 20% of GDP.
 - iii. The difference is in transfers (social security, welfare, etc)
 - d. In other advanced nations, government takes even more of GDP. Japan is the only advanced nation similar to the United States.
 - i. Sweden taxes are 65% of GDP. (Extreme case)
 - ii. Not unusual to find 40% or 50%
 - e. Aggregate Demand effects are side-effects. Spending and Tax decisions are made for microeconomic reasons.
- VI. Deficits and Debt
 - a. Technically a separate issue but deeply mixed with fiscal policy debates.
 - b. Deficit is excess of government spending over tax revenues in a given year.
 - c. Debt is the cumulative total of deficits at a particular point in time.
 - d. Why are they bad?
 - i. Public finds deficits inherently bad.
 - ii. Economists find little problem with a government running a deficit during a recession.
 - iii. Government finance should be considered over the whole business cycle.
 - 1. Should run deficit during recession and surplus during expansion.
 - 2. Should balance out over the whole cycle.
 - 3. Called "Counter-cyclical" Fiscal Policy.

- iv. Problems *will* arise from running chronic deficits.
 - 1. Means budget is perpetually accumulating debt.
 - 2. Crowding-Out Effect
 - a. Occurs because there's a limited amount of savings available to everyone who wants money.
 - b. The government will start to borrow savings that would otherwise go to the private sector.
 - c. Direct effect: Funds taken that would otherwise have boosted growth.
 - d. Indirect effect: Government borrowing might push up interest rates. Cost of borrowing money rises; lenders take advantage of having more competition.
 - e. Ultimately it's a form of national dissaving.
 - f. If deficits run only during downturn there's no crowding-out. Investment falls during downturns anyway.
- v. Inflationary Implications
 - 1. Seignorage
 - 2. Even when deficits financed through bonds, aggregate demand is pushed rightward. Demand-pull inflation.
 - 3. Also not a problem if the deficit is only run during a downturn. There's inflation then anyway.
- vi. Deficits themselves aren't bad. Can even be good, as long asthey're offset by surpluses.
- e. Used to be balanced-budget amendment proposal. Turned down by economists.
- f. By international standards, U.S. isn't too bad. Japan for example has debt of more than 100% of GDP. At worst, US had about 60%.