

Notes – Overview, Analysis of Algorithms

- I. Course Themes
  - a. Data Structures
  - b. Abstract Data Types
  - c. Algorithms
  - d. Analysis of Algorithms
  - e. Relationships
    - i. ADTs and Data Structures
      - 1. ADTs represent Data Structures
      - 2. Alternative data structures can represent an ADT.
      - 3. Set and List can both represent many data structures. Data structures can represent many ADTs.
    - ii. Data Structures and Algorithms
      - 1. An algorithm is: "A mechanical or recursive computational procedure."
      - 2. Algorithms and DSs are co-related.
      - 3. Writing working code is easy. Getting run time within limits is hard.
- II. Analysis of Algorithms
  - a. Óverview
    - i. We want to show that one algorithm is more efficient than another.
    - ii. Could be done empirically but we're interested in analytic methods.
    - iii. We have a data structure implementing operations on an ADT.
    - iv. We want to write an algorithm to implement a particular operation, so we need some analysis.
  - b. Basics
    - i. Growth Rate of Functions
      - 1. Consider functions of N
      - 2. N log N, N,  $2^N$ , N<sup>2</sup>, log N
      - 3.



- c. Example
  - i. See slides
    - ii.  $(a_0 + a_1k) + a_2k$ , s[k] = x
      - 1. Scans linearly for x, finds at position k. Then finds partial sum.
      - 2. Some fixed overhead plus amount of time to step through array, plus amount of time to sum array.
- d. Run-Time
  - i. Worst Case (never longer than this)
  - ii. Average (statistically predicted)

- iii. Best ("in your dreams" time)
- iv. We're usually interested in the worst-case time since we can be sure it will never take longer than that.
- III. Relative Growth
  - a. T(N), f(N) functions
  - b. ζ(T(N)), ζ(f(n))
  - c. T(n) = O(f(N)) iff  $\zeta(T(N)) \le \zeta(f(N))$
  - d.  $T(N) = \Omega(f(N))$  iff  $\zeta(T(N)) \ge \zeta(f(N))$
  - e.  $T(N) = \theta(f(N))$  iff  $\zeta(T(N)) = \zeta(f(N))$
  - f. T(N) = o(f(N)) iff  $\zeta(T(N)) < \zeta(f(N))$
- IV. Asymptotic Expressions of Run-Time
  - a. T(N) = O(f(N)) iff  $\exists c_0 > 0, n_0 > 0 \ni T(N) \le c_0 f(N)$  for all  $N > n_0$ .
  - b. That means the same thing as the previous definition.
  - c.  $T(N) = \theta(f(N))$  iff T(N) = O(F(N)) and  $T(N) = \Omega(f(N))$
  - d. Example
    - i.  $T(N) = 3 + 8N + 5N^2$
    - ii.  $T(N) = O(N^2)$
    - iii. Proof:
    - iv. Need  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $n_0 > 0 \Rightarrow T(N) \le c_0 N^2$
    - v. Choose  $n_0 = 1$  arbitrarily
    - vi.  $T(N) < c_0 N^2$  for any  $c_0 > 16$  (substitute 1 for N and solve). 3 + 5(1) + 8(1) = 16
  - e. Run-Time Bounds
    - i. O(f(N)) means "upper-bound" (worst case)
    - ii.  $\Omega(f(N))$  means "lower bound" (best case)
    - iii.  $\theta(f(N))$  means "tight bound" (best and worst cases are the same)
- V. Big-O Rules
  - a. If T(N) = O(c f(N)) then T(N) = O(f(N)) where c is a constant.
    - i. This means we're worried only about scale / growth rate.
    - ii. Constants are irrelevant.
  - b. If  $T_1(N) = O(f_1(N) \text{ and } T_2(N) = O(f_2(N)) \text{ then...}$ 
    - i.  $T_1(N) * T_2(N) = O(f_1(N) * f_2(N))$
    - ii. and  $T_1(N) + T_2(N) = max(O(f_1(N)), O(f_2(N)))$
  - c. If T(N) is a polynomial of degree k then  $T(N) = \theta(N^{k})$
  - d.  $\log^{k}(N) = O(N)$  for any k
    - i. This shows that logs grow much slower than linear equations.
    - ii. Logarithm to any power will never exceed linear.
    - iii. This rule isn't terribly important. See slides for its proof.
- VI. Basic Rules for Asymptotic Algorithm Analysis
  - a. Non-Recursive
    - i. Loop
      - 1. for I from 1 to N, j from 1 to M
      - 2. O(MN) (constant runtime for each innermost instruction, so pull it out by the first Big-Oh rule)
      - 3. If M = cN for some constant then  $O(MN) = O(M^2)$  or  $O(N^2)$
    - ii. Sequence
      - 1. One block followed by another.
      - 2. First, O(f(N)), second O(g(N))
      - 3. Total: max(O(f(N)), O(g(N))
    - iii. Conditional Branching
      - 1. If ... then O(f(N)) else O(g(N))
      - 2. Total: Take max
      - 3. We want Big-O, worst case, so take worst side of the 'if'
  - b. Recursive
    - i. Harder

- ii. Need a Recurrence Relation: A mathematical relationship expressing fn as some combination of  $f_i$  with i < N
- iii. When formulated as an equation to be solved, called a recurrence equation.
- iv. Example
  - 1. Binary Search
  - 2. Run Time Metric: Number of comparisons performed
  - 3. Problem Size: Number of elements in the search
  - 4. T(N) = T(N/2) + 2 if  $N \ge 2$ 
    - if N = 1 = 1
  - 5. Define  $2^n = N$
  - 6.  $T(2^n) = T(2^{n-1}) + 2$ 7.  $T(2^{n-1}) = T(2^{n-2}) + 2$

  - 8. ...
  - 9. T(2) = T(1) + 2
  - 10. Left with T(N) = T(1) + 2n
  - 11.  $T(N) = 2 \log N + 1 = O(\log N)$
  - 12. We've turned a potentially nasty division problem into subtraction.
- v. Example
  - 1. MinMax
  - 2. Runtime = Number of Comparisons
  - 3. Problem Size = Number of Elements
  - 4. T(N) = 2(T(N/2)) + 2 if  $N \ge 2$ 
    - if N = 0= 0
  - 5.  $T(N) = T(2^n) = 2^1T(2^{n-1}) + 2$
  - 6.  $2^{1}T(2^{n-1}) = 2^{2}T(2^{n-2}) + 2^{2}$ 7.  $2^{2}T(2^{n-2}) = 2^{3}T(2^{n-3}) + 2^{3}$

  - 8. ..
  - 9.  $2^{n-1}T(2) = 2^{n}T(1) + 2^{n}$
  - 10. Collapses to:  $T(2^n = 2^nT(1) + 2^n + 2^{n-1} + ... + 2^2 + 2$ 11. =  $2^n + 2^{n-1} + ... + 2^2 + 2$

  - 12. =  $2^{n} ((1 \frac{1}{2}^{n}) / (1 \frac{1}{2})) = 2^{n+1} 2 = 2(2^{n}) 2 = 2N 2 = O(N)$
  - 13. We get there using the geometric sum formula.
- vi. Example
  - 1. Fibonacci Numbers
  - 2. T(N) = T(N 1) + T(N 2) if  $N \ge 2$ if N < 2
    - = 0
  - 3. Note that the equation looks just like the function itself.
  - 4. Fib(N) =  $\phi^n / \sqrt{5}$  where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2$
  - 5. So let's say T(N) = Fib(N)
  - 6. In other words, T(N) itself behaves like Fibonacci numbers
  - 7. So  $T(N) = O(\phi^n)$  -- exponential!
  - 8. Exercise: Now solve this the same way as the Min-Max numbers.