

Notes – Multiple Inheritance

- I. Concept
 - a. It's not necessary to have just one superclass.
 - b. Every instance of a subclass must behave like an instance of each superclass.
 - c. It's mostly a simple concept,
 - d. Take the union of all behaviors of all superclasses, and that defines the subclass.
 - e. Representation is union of the superclasses' representation.
 - f. Example: See CS100-31-19
 - g. What about cases where representation overlaps?
 - i. Has to be done by name.
 - ii. Keep both sets? If so, what should they be called?
 - iii. Keep just one? If so, which one?
 - h. It's a little easier with methods
 - i. Private methods aren't important.
 - ii. The subclass can be required to write an implementation if it's not clear which should be used; that would replace implementation from both parents.
 - iii. Could union both methods (do printing for student and teacher together, to replace that for Student and that for Teacher).
 - iv. If it's a value-returning function, and two different return types are given, there's a problem.
 - i. Diamond Inheritance
 - i. What if both superclasses inherit from the same common super-superclass?
 - ii. StudentTeacher is a subclass of Student and Teacher
 - iii. Both Student and Teacher are subclasses of Person
 - iv. This causes even more complications.
- II. Object-Oriented Language Differences
 - a. The biggest difference among object oriented languages is how they handle multiple inheritance.
 - b. Java
 - i. Supports the minimum.
 - ii. One class can implement multiple interfaces.
 - iii. No multiple inheritance with classes.
 - iv. Eliminates a lot of the problems.
 - c. C++
 - i. Originally C++ did not support multiple inheritance at all.
 - ii. People complained, so now it supports virtually everything (almost no restrictions are in place).
 - iii. The Rub
 - 1. With pointer arithmetic, it should be possible to get from a pointer to the object to any field in that object.
 - 2. See CS100-32-8
 - 3. If two classes (A, C) are combined together to make a subclass (B), fields in C won't be the right distance from the beginning of B.
 - 4. It's not easy to assign C* when the C variables aren't first in memory. It has to add the size of A every time.
 - 5. Consider assigning two pointers of different types to the same supertype pointer it has to yield two different addresses!
 - iv. Virtual Calls
 - 1. The *this* pointer needs to point to the superclass, so it should be downcast when working with C variables, but ONLY if the instance is of the superclass!
 - 2. The compiler creates an extra function that takes the subclass pointer and downcasts it.
- III. Overlapping Names

- a. Java
 - i. Methods with the same name are assumed to be the same.
 - ii. Signatures MUST have the same return type.
 - iii. The subclass exceptions must be a subset of the intersection of the superclass exceptions.
- b. C++
 - i. Fields are all considered distinct
 - ii. If you have two fields with the same name, always use A::fieldname and B::fieldname
 - iii. (That's always legal, but not usually helpful outside of this context.)
 - iv. If no superclass function is virtual, the subclass is distinct.
 - v. Use b.A::f1();
 - vi. That's an explicit call, so even if A's function is virtual, it still gets called!
 - vii. Virtual Functions
 - 1. If both supers inherit from the same parent, the subclass just replaces that one method.
 - 2. If not, see CS100-32-18
 - viii. If more than one direct superclass gives an implementation, the subclass MUST redefine it or there's now ay to know which should be chosen.
- IV. Diamond Inheritance
 - a. Not an issue in Java
 - b. HUGE issue in C++
 - c. Consider X as the parent of A and C, and those as parents of B.
 - d. The problems come back to pointer arithmetic again.
 - e. Virtual Inheritance
 - i. Works similar to virtual functions.
 - ii. If A and C have virtual inheritance, B gets only one copy of X.
 - iii. That makes it diamond inheritance.
 - iv. Always use virtual inheritance if you're using multiple inheritance.
 - The Middle Ground

V.

- a. Java is minimalist
- b. C++ is insane
- c. There are others, like CLOS (Common Lisp Object System) in the middle.
- d. The General Case
 - i. Have no public fields. Let all fields be used for representation only.
 - ii. A good compiler can eliminate the overhead involved with the extra methods required for that.
 - iii. Like Java, methods with the same name must be the same.
 - iv. Require an implementation to be given by the subclass where both parents give one.
 - v. The Rub
 - 1. Arranging things in memory is problematic.
 - 2. Define a hidden method like C++
 - 3. Java avoids this by simply not having state allowed in interfaces.
- e. Another Idea
 - i. Let interfaces define methods, but no representation.
 - ii. Definitely let interfaces define *static* methods.
 - iii. That avoids the replication of code in multiple children, but doesn't violate any of the rules already dictated about interfaces.
- VI. Summary
 - a. Use multiple inheritance sparingly, particularly in C++
 - b. There are situations where the most natural solution involves multiple inheritance.
 - c. Design for the clean, simple case, and then fight with the language to get it done.
 - d. Whatever hoop-jumping the language requires should be hidden; keep the same specification even if there's a lot more to it behind the scenes.