

Notes – Testing

- I. Concept
 - a. We know the specification and we use it to write the code.
 - b. The code *should* behave as the specification describes, but we know it may not always work perfectly on the first try.
 - c. How do we determine whether it's working or not?
- II. Procedure
 - a. Run the program with a known set of inputs and observe the output.
 - b. Any unexpected result is a bug.
 - c. The goal is to find bugs!
 - i. Finding no bugs is a failure!
 - ii. The test should be designed, then, to uncover bugs, not to demonstrate what's already working.
 - iii. A test cannot prove that no bugs exist.
 - iv. It might build confidence if no bugs can be uncovered, but the goal is always to find them.
 - d. Write the tests first!
 - i. Before writing the code
 - ii. If you know what the test will uncover, you can write the code better the first time.
 - iii. You may even have to refine the specification as you realize what the test involves.
 - iv. It forces you to consider concrete cases of runs, which makes it easier to understand the general case.
- III. Approaches
 - a. Black Box
 - i. Can't see the inside.
 - ii. Tests are based entirely on the specification.
 - iii. Written first, and can apply to subclasses.
 - iv. Expected Behavior
 - 1. Test the mainstream behavior.
 - 2. What will *normally* happen when the code is run?
 - 3. What inputs will normally be given?
 - v. Fringe cases?
 - 1. What happens with valid, but fringe, inputs?
 - 2. Eg: Inserting at the beginning of a list, sending a minimum or maximum.
 - 3. Test the cases that are still specified as valid, but which might potentially be handled differently (perhaps incorrectly?) in the code.
 - 4. Remember that you still only get to see the specification, not the code, so you're guessing what might be handled differently.
 - vi. Error Cases
 - 1. Deliberately send bad input and see what happens.
 - 2. It should handle it *reasonably*, but not necessarily normally.
 - 3. No infinite loops, some indication of failure returned, etc.
 - 4. Potentially MANY convoluted invalid sets of data.
 - 5. Opportunity exists to do some really devious things, so do! Remember the goal is to find bugs.
 - b. White Box ("Glass Box")
 - i. You've seen the code, so you can base the test on what you know it will do.
 - ii. You can identify sections that might potentially cause problems.
 - iii. Look at the flow of control, make sure one thing flows properly to the next.
 - iv. Makes ure each piece executes correctly and that all branches are taken as appropriate.

v. Does a pointer ever enter a branch as null unexpectedly, for example?

- c. For Assignments

 i. Test the mainstream cases and a few error cases.
 ii. There won't always be time to do as thorough testing as other circumstances might demand.