



Branding

- I. Product Augmentation
 - a. Introduction
 - i. No amount of marketing effort will salvage a poor product
 - ii. We must start with strong products
 - iii. Levitt: New competition is not between what's produced in the factory but in what's added (information, warehousing, financing, delivery arrangements)
 - iv. "Product Augmentation Level" competition
 - b. Generic Benefits
 - i. Relative Advantage
 - ii. Testability
 - iii. Ease of Use
 - iv. Compatibility with Existing Business Practices
 - c. Augments
 - i. Feature Enhancements
 - ii. Packaging Improvements
 - iii. Customer Service (cycle time, information, et cetera)
 - iv. Improved Warranty / Guarantee
 - v. Enhanced Credit Terms
 - d. The product is replaced by the "offering" (i.e. the complete marketing mix)
 - e. Critical Issues
 - i. Make sure we're only augmenting with things customers actually want
 - ii. Know how much it will cost
 - iii. Find out how well the augmented product will compete against non-augmented products by competitors
- II. Branding Introduction
 - a. Not interested in legalities like trademarks. Interested in the concept of branding
 - b. A brand is just a distinguishing name / symbol / sign / design (or combination) that identifies and differentiates the product
 - c. Includes good associations and bad associations
 - d. Consider how many brand names we already know!
 - e. Brand Equity
 - i. Branding can increase loyalty, raise reservation prices.
 - ii. Dollar Value of Brand Equity = Price Premium * Incremental Value
 - iii. (Price Premium is the difference above generic prices)
 - iv. Aaker: Brand is a source of competitive advantage
 - f. Line Extensions
 - i. Extend brand to a new size, new flavor, new color
 - ii. Not really changing anything or doing anything unexpected
 - iii. Example: Cherry Coke, Yoplait Light
 - g. Brand Extensions
 - i. Applying the brand name to a whole new category
 - ii. This is harder to do but potentially a huge advantage
 - iii. How far can you stretch a brand? Haagen Dazs to frozen desserts? To frozen entrées? The former is easier to accept than the latter.
 - iv. Licensing
 1. Some other company stretches the brand
 2. "Harley Davidson" Big-Wheel tricycle
 3. Caterpillar clothes
 - v. The Good
 1. Immediate, potentially favorable associations
 2. Same product, different form (Dole fruit bars)
 3. Companion product
 4. A product where the company's expertise is applicable

- 5. Positive associations feed back to the original products
- vi. The Bad
 - 1. Name adds no value (Pillsbury Popcorn)
 - 2. Negative attribute association (Campbell's Tomato Sauce)
 - 3. Poor fit with the original products
- vii. The Ugly
 - 1. Creates undesirable associations (Pentium Pro after the "Pentium Bug")
 - 2. Weakens existing associations
 - 3. Not good to cannibalize higher-margin products with the new brand
- III. Characteristics of Strongest Brands
 - a. Excels at delivering benefits customers desire
 - b. Stays relevant! (Must invest in improvements)
 - c. Pricing based on perceptions of value
 - d. Properly positioned (where are we like other brands, and where are we different)
 - e. Brand portfolio and hierarchy make sense
 - f. Uses full repertoire of marketing activities
 - g. Managers understand what the brand means to customers
 - h. Brand given support! Sustained over time, even in bad times. Advertising money and trade dollars still put in even when the economy isn't looking good.